ATTENDING: Mary Kay Rudolph, Patie Wegman (proxy for Ricardo Navarrette), Doug Roberts, Jane Saldana-Talley, Karen Furukawa, Karen Frindell (proxy for Julie Thompson), Robin Fautley, Carol Hatrick, Dean Gooch, Liko Puha (proxy for Hilleary Izard), Kathy Matthies, plus guest Tara Johnson

APPROVAL OF MINUTES
The minutes of the February 19, 2015 meeting were approved with a salsa beat due to a dance contest outside the window.

ANNOUNCEMENTS
- Proxies were confirmed and the April and May meetings were reviewed. The May 21st meeting will be canceled if no urgent matters need to be addressed.

REGULAR AGENDA

1. Policy/Procedure Review Category 2 – Reviewed, Minor/Technical Changes

   Section 3  3.9, Faculty Member’s Obligation to Students – Robin Fautley

   Robin and Mary Kay reviewed the most recent EPCC approved revisions to this policy. This version attempts to reconcile final issues between the policy and District/AFA Contract Article 17. Karen Frindell (as proxy for Julie Thompson) requested that the AFA Executive Committee review the revised policy at their April 8th meeting. If accepted, it will come back to College Council on April 16th for a vote and if approved will go to the Board of Trustees in May.

2. Policy/Procedure Review Category 3 – Reviewed, Substantive Changes

   Section 2 2.15/P (previously 7.18/P), Response to Rape and Sexual Assault - Patie Wegman, Karen Furukawa

   Patie Wegman and Karen Furukawa reviewed the first round of revisions to the former Policy/Procedure 7.18/P. All constituent groups asked to review (DCC/IM, Associated Students, Management Team, Academic Senate, AFA, SEIU, Classified Senate and PFF). Patie has already begun shopping to constituent groups. Mary Kay reminded all groups that it is their responsibility to contact Patie and set up the review date. Hopefully the review will be done before May 7th so there is time to bring back to College Council as an action item. The revisions can then go to the June BOT; there is a legal deadline of July 1st for this revised policy to be approved. Mary Kay will contact Melissa Kort and ask her to expedite her review (for layout, grammar, etc.) as well.

   Section 8 8.1.1/P, Access for Students with Disabilities – Tara Johnson

   Tara Johnson presented the proposed revisions to this policy and procedure. It has been reviewed by all constituent groups. It was approved (with a few minor changes made directly on the flash drive) to go to the May Board of Trustees for their review.

3. Committee Reviews

   a. EEOAC Committee – Karen Furukawa

   Karen presented the most recent revisions to the EEOA Committee. All College Council members confirmed that the changes were accurate and reflected previous requests or recommendations from both College Council and various constituent groups. Karen pointed out that the three faculty representatives would be divided up as follows: 1 Academic Senate, 1 AFA and 1 jointly appointed.
She remarked that Classified Senate representatives did not elaborate on the appointment process, but SEIU and Classified Senate are welcome to use the same model to appoint their members. Liko Puha (as proxy for Hilleary Izard) and Kathy Matthies then engaged in a short discussion and agreed to follow the same appointment pattern. The changes to appointments were made on the flash drive. College Council unanimously voted to accept the new committee function and membership.

b. **Majors and Certificates Review Committee (a sub-committee of EPCC)** – Robin Fautley, Mary Kay Rudolph

Robin and Mary Kay presented the history and current recommendations regarding the Majors and Certificates Review Committee as it pertains to it becoming a sub-committee of the Education Planning and Coordinating Council (EPCC). College Council approved the committee function and membership with minor changes made directly on the flash drive.

4. **Discussion: College Council’s role in reviewing District plans** – ALL

A lively discussion ensued regarding College Council’s role in reviewing District plans, with the Sustainable SRJC Plan providing a current example. Questions arose:
- How and when do plans come to College Council?
- How do we avoid redundancy with other committees that review plans?
- How do we facilitate change among committees as recommended in some plans?
- Carol said that Policy/Procedure 2.5/P, Governance and the Committee System, may need to be modified in order to add College Council’s role in reviewing District plans. Carol will draft a change to review at CC next meeting or at our final meeting in May.
- The representatives of the Sustainable SRJC plan will be invited to attend the May 7th College Council meeting.
- It was noted that Policy/Procedure 7.18/P will need to be revised to focus on reporting crime and the Cleary Report.

**CONSENT AGENDA**

None at the time of agenda construction.

**FACULTY SENATE CONSULTATION AGENDA** (Title 5 Mandated Senate/Board material)

None at the time of agenda construction.

**PENDING AGENDA ITEMS**

1. Revisions to Policy 3.9, Faculty Member’s Obligation to Students – Robin Fautley – April 16
2. Revisions to Professional Development Committee Structure and Function – Mary Sandberg, Katie Gerber
3. Revisions to Policy and Procedure 4.7.1/P, Reporting Faculty Absence and Leave Time – Mary Kay Rudolph, Robin Fautley, Julie Thompson
4. Revisions to Policy 2.2.2, Administrative, Classified Management and Confidential Positions – Karen Furukawa
6. Revisions to Procedure 4.3.2P, Faculty Hiring: Regular and Adjunct – Karen Furukawa
7. Revisions to Procedure 4.3.10P, Management Team Hiring – Karen Furukawa
8. 4.3.11P, Equivalency of Minimum Qualifications for Educational and Classified Administrators – Karen Furukawa
9. Revisions to Policy and Procedure 2.15/P, Response to Rape and Sexual Assault – Patie Wegman

**Committee Membership for 2014-2015**

Management: Mary Kay Rudolph, Ricardo Navarrette, Doug Roberts, Karen Furukawa
Faculty: Robin Fautley, Carol Hatrick, Julie Thompson, Dean Gooch
Classified: Kathy Matthies, Hilleary Izard
Students: Josh Pinaula, Thor Olsen